

**Orange County Committee on School  
District Organization**



**Adjustment to Orange County Board of  
Education Trustee Area Boundaries  
Following 2020 Census**

**VOLUME 2**

**ORANGE COUNTY  
COMMITTEE  
ON  
SCHOOL DISTRICT  
ORGANIZATION**

c/o ORANGE COUNTY  
DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION  
200 KALMUS DRIVE  
P.O. BOX 9050  
COSTA MESA, CA  
92628-9050  
(714)966-4000  
[www.ocde.us/CCSDO](http://www.ocde.us/CCSDO)

January 26, 2022  
HAND DELIVERY

**Re: Additional Documents for County Committee Binder Related to the Adjustment of Orange County Board of Education Trustee Area Boundaries and Binder Volume 2**

Dear County Committee Members:

Enclosed with this letter are additional documents to be added to the existing Binder of the Orange County Committee on School District Organization: Adjustment to Orange County Board of Education Trustee Area Boundaries following 2020 Census (Volume 1) as well as a new binder, Volume 2, consisting of the documents for the January 27, 2022 County Committee meeting related to the adjustment of the Orange County Board of Education's trustee area boundaries.

**Binder Volume 1**

Below are instructions for inserting the enclosed additional documents in Volume 1 of the Binder:

1. Main Index (Please replace the Main Index with the enclosed updated version.)
2. In Section A, under Tab 13, after the agenda, please insert Minutes from County Committee's Meeting on January 14, 2022

**Binder Volume 2**

Binder Volume 2 consists of the following documents:

1. Volume 2 Main Index
2. Tab 20: January 21, 2022 Agenda for County Committee Special Meeting and Public Hearing
3. Tab 21: Public input received by County Committee between January 21, 2022 and January 26, 2022
4. Tab 22: January 27, 2022 Agenda for County Committee Meeting and Public Hearing Agenda

January 26, 2022

Page 2 of 2

5. Tab 23: Map 5 Modified by RP 1 (Created by Redistricting Partners)  
**Same Map as Tab 15 but duplicated for ease of reference.**
6. Tab 24: Map 9B (Submitted by Wright and converted into Maptitude by Redistricting Partners)  
**Same Map as Tab 17 but duplicated for ease of reference.**
7. Tab 25: Option 5 Modified RP 1B (Created by Redistricting Partners)
8. Tab 26: Draft Map 9B V2 (Modified by Redistricting Partners)

If you have any questions or need assistance updating the Binder Volume 1 we will be available at the January 27, 2022 County Committee meeting to assist you. You are also welcome to call Laurie Weiss at (714) 966-4234 for additional assistance.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Howard C. Marinier III". The signature is stylized with a large "H" and "M".

Howard C. Marinier III  
Secretary to County Committee

Enclosures

cc: Laurie Weiss, Manager Business Services OCDE  
Dean West, Assistant Superintendent Business Services, OCDE  
Jeff Riel, General Counsel, OCDE  
Ruth Brewda, Counsel, OCDE  
Lysa Saltzman, Counsel, OCDE

**MAIN INDEX – VOLUME 1**

**A. COUNTY COMMITTEE INFORMATION**

<b>NO</b>	<b>DATE</b>	<b>DOCUMENT</b>	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>
1.	11/8/21	Agenda	Agenda, minutes and PowerPoint presentation
2.	11/24/21	Correspondence	From Secretary of County Committee to Orange County Board of Education
3.	11/28/21	Correspondence	From Board President OCBE to Secretary of County Committee
4.	12/10/21	Agenda	Agenda, minutes, and the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Legal Services Presentation</li> <li>• OCBE Redistricting Map – Option 5</li> <li>• National Demographic Corporation Power Point Presentation During Public Hearing</li> </ul>
5.	12/10/21	OCBE	Material provided to County Committee by OCBE including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Resolution on County Board of Education Redistricting Plan – Orange County Board of Education – December 8, 2021;</li> <li>• National Demographics Corporation (41 pages);</li> <li>• Document entitled Nielsen Merksamer's Redistricting and Voting Rights Practice</li> </ul>
6.	Various	Emails	Public Comments sent by email to County Committee regarding OCBE Redistricting Plan received <u>prior</u> to 12pm on December 10, 2021
7.	Various	Emails	Public Comments sent by email to County Committee regarding OCBE Redistricting Plan received <u>after</u> 12pm on December 10, 2021
8.	12/14/21	Correspondence	Letter to Orange County Registrar of Voters regarding the status of the County Committee's process
9.	12/16/21	Correspondence	Email from Secretary to County Committee with update following County Committee meeting



10.		County Committee	Bylaws
11.	12/29/21	Correspondence	Letter from Orange County Registrar of Voters regarding timeline for submission of OCBE map for June 7, 2022 Primary Elections and enclosures: (1) Letter from OCBE to OC ROV dated 12/15/21 and (2) Letter from County Committee to OC ROV dated 12/14/21.
12.	Various	Emails and Correspondence	Public input received by County Committee between December 11, 2021 through January 13, 2022
13.	1/14/22	Agenda	County Committee Meeting Agenda including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Minutes</li> <li>• Attachment A2 – OCBOE Map Option 5 (Converted into Maptitude by Redistricting Partners)</li> <li>• Attachment B – AB 361 Resolution</li> </ul>
14.	1/20/22	Map Option	OCBOE Map Option 5 (Created by Redistricting Partners) – School District and City Split Reports Only
15.	1/20/22	Map Option	OCBOE Map Option 5 Modified by RP #1 (Created by Redistricting Partners) School District and City Split Reports
16.	1/20/22	Map Option	OCBOE Map Option 5 Modified by RP #2 (Created by Redistricting Partners) School District and City Split Reports
17.	1/20/22	Map Option	Map 9B (Submitted by Wright and converted into Maptitude by Redistricting Partners) Map 9B (Submitted by Billie Joe Wright) School District and City Split Reports
18.	1/20/22	Map Option	Map C (Created by Redistricting Partners) School District and City Split Reports
19.	1/21/22	Emails	Public input received by County Committee between January 13, 2022 through January 21, 2022
<b>See Volume 2</b>			

**B. ORANGE COUNTY BOARD OF EDUCATION MEETINGS/INFORMATION**

<b>NO</b>	<b>DATE</b>	<b>DOCUMENT</b>	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>
1.	5/5/21	Agenda/Minutes/Transcript	2021 Board Census Presentation by Greg Rolen
2.	6/2/21	Agenda/Minutes/Transcript	Board Action on Redistricting Contract with National Demographics Corporation (NDC)
3.	6/16/21	Agenda/Minutes/Transcript	Redistricting Committee Appointment
4.	8/4/21	Agenda/Minutes/Transcript	Redistricting Presentation by OCBE Redistricting by Doug Johnson, NDC
5.	10/6/21	Agenda/Minutes/Transcript	Update on Redistricting
6.	11/3/21	Agenda/Minutes/Transcript	Redistricting Presentation and Proposed Map Option 1, Option 2, Option 3 by Greg Rolen and Douglas Johnson  Public Hearing on OCBE Redistricting
7.	12/1/21	Agenda/Minutes/Transcript	Redistricting Update by Douglas Johnson & Greg Rolen  Public Hearing on Redistricting Map Options 1-10
8.	12/8/21	Agenda/Minutes/Transcript	Public Report of Action Taken in Closed Session Redistricting Update by Douglas Johnson and Greg Rolen Redistricting Public Hearing on Redistricting Map Option 4 and Map Option 5 Final Board Approval 2021 Trustee Districts
9.		Emails	Public Comment sent by email to Orange County Board of Education regarding Cypress.

10.	1/5/22	Agenda	Conference with Legal Counsel-Anticipated Litigation Significant exposure to litigation pursuant to subdivision (b) of Section 54956.9.
-----	--------	--------	---

**C. REDISTRICTING MAPS**

<b>NO</b>	<b>DATE</b>	<b>DOCUMENT</b>	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>
1.	2011	Current OCBE Boundaries	Trustee area boundaries created in 2011 with demographic information from the 2020 Census
2.	11/3/21	Option 1	Created by NDC and submitted by OCBE Redistricting Committee. Considered by OCBE on November 3, 2021 and December 1, 2021.
3.	11/3/21	Option 2	Created by NDC and submitted by OCBE Redistricting Committee. Considered by OCBE on November 3, 2021 and December 1, 2021.
4.	11/3/21	Option 3	Created by NDC and submitted by OCBE Redistricting Committee. Considered by OCBE on November 3, 2021 and December 1, 2021.
5.	12/1/21	Option 4	Created by NDC (after public hearing on November 3, 2021) and submitted by OCBE Redistricting Committee. Considered by OCBE on November 3, 2021, December 1, 2021, and December 8, 2021.
6.	12/1/21	Option 5	Created by NDC (after public hearing on November 3, 2021) and submitted by OCBE Redistricting Committee. Considered by OCBE on November 3, 2021, December 1, 2021, and December 8, 2021.
7.	12/1/21	Option 6	Submitted by Tom Berry, Mappings and GIS. Considered by OCBE on December 1, 2021.
8.	12/1/21	Option 7	Submitted by Tom Berry, Mappings and GIS. Considered by OCBE on December 1, 2021.
9.	12/1/21	Option 8	Submitted by Tom Berry, Mappings and GIS. Considered by OCBE on December 1, 2021.
10.	12/1/21	Option 9	Submitted by Billie Joe Wright. Considered by OCBE on December 1, 2021.

11.	12/1/21	Option 10	Submitted by MALDEF. Considered by OCBE on December 1, 2021.
12.	12/3/21	Option 9A	Submitted by Billie Joe Wright.
13.	12/8/21	OCBOS	Redistricting Map adopted by the Orange County Board of Supervisors. Provided as a reference.

**D. ORANGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MEETINGS/INFORMATION**

<b>NO</b>	<b>DATE</b>	<b>DOCUMENT</b>	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>
1.	12/2/21	Staff Report	Staff Report from Orange County Executive Office providing background on Board of Supervisor process.
2.	12/3/21	Revised Staff Report	Memo from Orange County Executive Office regarding Adoption of 2021 Redistricting Map Ordinance
3.	12/7/21	Ordinance	County of Orange 2021 Redistricting Ordinance with Adopted Map
4.	12/7/21	Map	2021 Map adopted by Board of Supervisors with demographic information



ORANGE COUNTY COMMITTEE ON SCHOOL DISTRICT ORGANIZATION  
MINUTES  
Special Meeting  
January 14, 2022

1. CALL TO ORDER

ORANGE COUNTY COMMITTEE ON SCHOOL DISTRICT ORGANIZATION

The Special Meeting of the Orange County Committee on School District Organization was called to order by Chairperson Sheila Benecke at 3:00 p.m., January 14, 2022 in the Board Room of the Orange County Department of Education, 200 Kalmus Drive, Costa Mesa, California, 92626.

Chairperson Sheila Benecke shared guidelines from the California Department of Public Health and the Orange County Health Care Agency that required face masks to be worn inside the building.

2. FLAG SALUTE

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Virginia Wilson.

3. ROLL CALL

Chairperson Benecke asked Ms. Laurie Weiss if she would take roll.

*Present:*

Sheila Benecke, Chairperson	Marilyn Buchi
Meg Cutuli	Jackie Filbeck
Karin Freeman, Vice Chairperson	Kathleen Heard
Kathy Moffat	Francine Scinto
Suzie Swartz	Virginia Wilson

*Absent:*

Jo-Ann Purcell

4. ADOPTION OF AGENDA

Motion by Virginia Wilson, seconded by Karin Freeman, and carried by vote of 9 to 0 with Meg Cutuli abstaining, to adopt the agenda as presented.

5. ACCEPTANCE OF MINUTES

Motion by Virginia Wilson, seconded by Suzie Swartz, and carried by a vote of 9 to 0 with Meg Cutuli abstaining, to approve the County Committee minutes of the December 10, 2021 meeting.

6. PUBLIC COMMENTS

Chairperson Benecke explained the several opportunities available for members of the public who wish to address the County Committee. There was no public comment related to closed session.

7. CLOSED SESSION

At 3:07pm, Chairperson Benecke explained the County Committee would now meet in closed session with legal counsel under the authority of subdivision (d) of Government Code section 54956.9, based on the fact that there has been a threat of litigation.

At 5:04pm, Chairperson Benecke reconvened the County Committee meeting and reported that the County Committee ratified retention of Robin Johansen

of Olson Remcho LLP. The County Committee voted unanimously for this retention.

## 8. PUBLIC COMMENTS

Chairperson Benecke repeated the face mask requirement and then explained that given some of the public comments at the previous meeting, the County Committee does not assign students to schools and that those are local decisions made by the school districts and parents. Chairperson Benecke then stated she received eighteen speaker cards. Based on the number of speaker cards, each speaker was given 1.7 minutes (1 minute and 42 seconds) to provide public comments. Seventeen speakers supported Map Option 5. One speaker supported Map Option 9.

## 9. NEW BUSINESS

### A. Adjustment to Orange County Board of Education Trustee Area Boundaries following 2020 Federal Census

#### 1. Staff Report:

Chairperson Benecke asked Mr. Howard Marinier, Secretary to the County Committee, and Mr. Jeffrey Riel, General Counsel of the Orange County Department of Education to provide a staff report.

Mr. Marinier explained that the binder, entitled "Orange County Committee on School District Organization: Adjustment to Orange County Board of Education Trustee Area Boundaries following 2020 Census" is referenced as Attachment A on the agenda and explained that members of the public may review an electronic version on the County Committee webpage or a hard-copy version was available in the back of the room. Mr. Marinier then introduced Mr. Jeff Riel, OCDE General Counsel who was also joined via Zoom by Ms. Robin Johansen, Senior Counsel with Olson Remcho, and Mr. Paul Mitchell, a demographer and President of Redistricting Partners.

Mr. Riel provided a brief summary of the redistricting process, Education Code section 1002, the different criteria to be considered, the history of the OCBOE redistricting in 2001 and 2011, the County Committee's options and the timeline for the process.

Mr. Paul Mitchell, participating via zoom, then provided a presentation that reviewed the Orange County Board of Education's adopted Map 5 and provided information regarding how it compared to city and school district boundaries and the number of cities and school districts that were split. He explained the different criteria that may be considered and how it may impact the degree of population deviation. Mr. Mitchell also briefly reviewed Map 9B that was

submitted to the County Committee by a member of the public and Map C that was developed independently to address concerns raised by the County Committee.

2. Discussion:

County Committee members asked questions regarding the different maps. Mr. Mitchell and Ms. Robin Johansen answered various questions about each of the maps, higher ranking redistricting criteria, permissible deviation in determining equal population, and the Federal Voting Rights Act.

3. Vote on the Redistricting Plan Submitted by the Orange County Board of Education:

Motion by Suzie Swartz to adjust Map Option 5 to make the south county whole again because of the strong community interest and Meg Cutuli seconded the motion.

Motion to amend by Jackie Filbeck to include Map 9B and Map C, which was seconded by Karin Freeman. County Committee members discussed the amendment, specifically the need for more information related to Map 9B and Map C. A roll call vote for the amendment to the original motion was taken and the amendment to the motion was passed by a unanimous vote of all members present.

Then a roll call vote for the amended motion to adjust Map Option 5 to make south county whole and to provide further information regarding Map 9B and Map C was taken and the motion passed by a unanimous vote of all members present.

County Committee members then gave instructions to staff on what they would like to be included in the next meeting: information regarding where trustees reside on each map and the opportunity for the maker of Map 9B to present information.

B. State of Emergency and Resolution Authorizing Teleconferenced Meetings Pursuant to the Provisions of AB 361

1. Staff Report:

Chairperson Benecke asked Mr. Riel to provide a staff report regarding the state of emergency due to COVID-19 and AB 361. Mr. Riel explained that AB 361 amended the Brown Act to allow for public agencies to hold teleconferenced meetings under specific circumstances during a state of



emergency without complying with the Brown Act's traditional teleconference requirements. Mr. Riel explained that the County Committee would need to determine that meeting in person would present an imminent risk to the health safety of the attendees and the specific requirements that must be met for remote meetings and remote public comment under AB 361.

2. Discussion:

The County Committee asked and Mr. Riel answered questions regarding the flexibility to continue to holding in person meetings if the County Committee passed the resolution.

3. Resolution Recognizing State of Emergency and Authorizing Teleconferenced Meetings Pursuant to the Provisions of AB 361

Motion by Virginia Wilson to approve a resolution recognizing a State of Emergency and Authorizing Teleconferenced Meetings pursuant to the Provisions of AB 361, which was seconded by Suzie Swartz, and carried by unanimous vote of all members present.

10. PRESENTATIONS FROM THE PUBLIC

No presentations from the public.

11. NEXT MEETING

Mr. Marinier stated the next meeting will be held on January 21, 2022. He also stated the County Committee will be meeting in February to hear the Santa Ana Unified School District trustee areas and change to a by-trustee area method of election.

12. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business Chairperson Benecke requested a motion to adjourn the meeting. The motion was moved by Virginia Wilson, seconded by Suzie Swartz, and unanimously approved by all members present. The meeting was adjourned at 7:28p.m.

**MAIN INDEX – VOLUME 2**

**A. COUNTY COMMITTEE INFORMATION**

NO	DATE	DOCUMENT	DESCRIPTION
<i>See Volume 1 for No. 1 - 19</i>			
20.	1/21/22	Agenda	County Committee Meeting and Public Hearing Agenda
21.	1/26/22	Emails	Public input received by County Committee between January 21, 2022 through January 26, 2022
22.	1/27/22	Agenda	County Committee Meeting and Public Hearing Agenda
23.	1/27/22	Map Option	Map 5 Modified by RP 1 (Created by Redistricting Partners) <b>Same Map as Vol. 1 Tab 15, duplicated for ease of reference</b>
24.	1/27/22	Map Option	Map 9B (Submitted by Wright and converted into Maptitude by Redistricting Partners) <b>Same Map as Vol. 1 Tab 17, duplicated for ease of reference</b>
25.	1/27/22	Map Option	Option 5 Modified RP 1B (Created by Redistricting Partners)
26.	1/27/22	Map Option	Draft Map 9B V2 (Modified by Redistricting Partners)

**A**

**20**



**ORANGE COUNTY COMMITTEE ON SCHOOL DISTRICT ORGANIZATION**  
200 Kalmus Drive  
P.O. Box 9050  
Costa Mesa, CA 92628

---

**SPECIAL MEETING AND  
PUBLIC HEARING  
AGENDA**

Friday, January 21, 2022  
3:00 p.m.

**Meeting Location**

Orange County Department of Education  
Board Room  
200 Kalmus Drive  
Costa Mesa, CA 92628

*The Orange County Committee on School District Organization is an eleven member committee comprised of two representatives from each supervisorial district and one member at large elected by representatives from local boards of education. The County Committee studies and makes recommendations in the areas of (a) the organization and reorganization of school districts; (b) changes in school district boundaries; and (c) the number of trustees and the manner by which they are elected. For more information on the County Committee, please visit its website at <http://www.ocde.us/CCSDO/Pages/default.aspx>.*

**ITEMS ON THE AGENDA.** Any person may address the County Committee as a part of tonight's public meeting and/or at the end of the meeting under Presentations from the Public (for items not on the agenda).<sup>1</sup> Prior to the opening of the meeting, a Request to Address the County Committee card (located at the back of the room) should be completed and submitted to Laurie Weiss, Orange County Department of Education staff. Once the agenda item has been opened for public comment, no additional Request to Address the County Committee cards shall be accepted for that topic.

1. Call to Order.
2. Flag Salute.
3. Roll Call.
4. Adoption of Agenda (ACTION).
5. Acceptance of Minutes – January 14, 2022 (ACTION)

---

<sup>1</sup> Public testimony shall be limited to a maximum of 30 minutes per item with a maximum of 3 minutes per speaker, except as otherwise noted.

6. Public Comments of Persons Desiring to Address the County Committee: Not to Exceed 3 minutes per person/30 minutes total
7. Closed Session: Conference with Legal Counsel – Anticipated Litigation  
Significant exposure to litigation pursuant to subdivision (d) of Government Code section 54956.9 (one potential case)
8. New Business: (4:30pm)
  - A. Staff Report – Adjustment to Orange County Board of Education Trustee Area Boundaries following 2020 Federal Census
  - B. Public Hearing – Proposed plans to adjust the Orange County Board of Education trustee area boundaries following the 2020 Federal Census
    1. Open Public Hearing
    2. Demographics and Plan Presentations
    3. Public Comment
    4. Rebuttal
    5. Questions from County Committee Members
    6. Close Public Hearing
  - C. Discussion from County Committee Members.
  - D. Vote on the Redistricting Plan to adjust the Orange County Board of Education trustee area boundaries following the 2020 Federal Census. (ACTION)
9. Presentations from the Public: Not to exceed 3 minutes per person/ 30 minutes total.  
*Any persons present desiring to address the County Committee on any proper matter **not on the agenda** may do so at this time, provided that no action may be taken on any item not on the agenda.*
10. Next Meeting: To Be Determined
11. Adjournment.

Individuals with disabilities in need of copies of the agenda and/or the agenda packet or in need of auxiliary aides and services may request assistance by contacting Laurie Weiss, Manager, Business Services at (714) 966-4234.

For information concerning this meeting, please contact:  
Laurie Weiss, Manager, Business Services  
Orange County Department of Education  
Phone: (714) 966-4234  
Email: [ccsdo@ocde.us](mailto:ccsdo@ocde.us)  
or visit the County Committee website at  
<http://www.ocde.us/CCSDO/Pages/default.aspx>

**21**

**From:** LADELLE CLARK  
**To:** [County Committee on School District Organization](#)  
**Date:** Friday, January 21, 2022 12:34:47 PM

---

Approve map 9B  
Fair & equitable!  
Del Clark  
Rossmoor resident

Sent from the all new AOL app for iOS



**From:** [Desi St. Amant](#)  
**To:** [County Committee on School District Organization](#)  
**Subject:** Approval of Map 9B  
**Date:** Friday, January 21, 2022 7:29:54 PM

---

Hello,

I am asking the County Committee on School District Organization to **approve MAP 9B** because all of the regions in the county are well represented in that plan. Furthermore, it is not gerrymandered and follows existing district boundaries and natural dividing lines (like the Santa Ana River). Lastly, the map is cohesive, nesting many school districts together, and it creates a federal voting rights mandated district for the growing Latino community.

Thank you for your time and consideration,  
Desi St. Amant  
Resident of Orange County

**From:** [NINA ZASORIN](#)  
**To:** [County Committee on School District Organization](#)  
**Subject:** I vote for Map 9B  
**Date:** Saturday, January 22, 2022 2:07:36 PM

---

The fairest for all stakeholders and best  
for students and schools

N.L. Zasorin, MD

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Erika Jewell](#)  
**To:** [County Committee on School District Organization](#)  
**Subject:** Map 9B  
**Date:** Sunday, January 23, 2022 12:37:03 PM

---

I am writing to support option 9B as the only option for a school district map. My son attends middle school in Tustin.

- Option 9B is by far, the most fair of the proposals and most cohesive by nesting many school districts together.
- The three South County School districts are kept together.
- The two most Latino school districts are put together in the same area.
- All regions of the county are well represented.
- Creates a Federal Voting Rights mandated district for the growing Latino population in the central part of the county centered in Santa Ana.
- Creates a district where the growing Asian population is the plurality of the eligible voters, centered in Little Saigon and the Korean communities in North Orange County.
- Has one of the lowest deviations of the proposals, with just under 1% deviation, allowing for all districts to have equitable representation.
- Not gerrymandered, follows the spirit of drawing a fair map, sticking to school district boundaries, trustee area or school attendance area boundaries, main streets, freeways and natural dividing lines such as the Santa Ana River.

Thank you!  
Erika Jewell

**22**



**ORANGE COUNTY COMMITTEE ON SCHOOL DISTRICT ORGANIZATION**  
200 Kalmus Drive  
P.O. Box 9050  
Costa Mesa, CA 92628

---

**SPECIAL MEETING AND  
PUBLIC HEARING  
AGENDA**

Thursday, January 27, 2022  
3:00 p.m.

**Meeting Location**

Orange County Department of Education  
Board Room  
200 Kalmus Drive  
Costa Mesa, CA 92628

*The Orange County Committee on School District Organization is an eleven-member committee comprised of two representatives from each supervisorial district and one member at large elected by representatives from local boards of education. The County Committee studies and makes recommendations in the areas of (a) the organization and reorganization of school districts; (b) changes in school district boundaries; and (c) the number of trustees and the manner by which they are elected. For more information on the County Committee, please visit its website at <http://www.ocde.us/CCSDO/Pages/default.aspx>.*

ITEMS ON THE AGENDA. Any person may address the County Committee as a part of tonight's public meeting and/or at the end of the meeting under Presentations from the Public (for items not on the agenda).<sup>1</sup> Prior to the opening of the meeting, a Request to Address the County Committee card (located at the back of the room) should be completed and submitted to Laurie Weiss, Orange County Department of Education staff. Once the agenda item has been opened for public comment, no additional Request to Address the County Committee cards shall be accepted for that topic.

1. Call to Order
2. Flag Salute
3. Roll Call
4. Adoption of Agenda (ACTION)
5. Acceptance of Minutes – January 21, 2022 (ACTION)

---

<sup>1</sup> Public testimony shall be limited to a maximum of 30 minutes per item with a maximum of 3 minutes per speaker, except as otherwise noted.

**REVISED AGENDA**

6. Public Comments of Persons Desiring to Address the County Committee: Not to Exceed 3 minutes per person/30 minutes total
7. CLOSED SESSION: CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – EXISTING LITIGATION - Pursuant to Paragraph (1) of subdivision (d) of Government Code section 54956.9.  
Name of Case: Orange County Board of Education et al. v. Orange County Committee on School District Organization, Case Number: Unassigned
8. New Business: (4:30 p.m.)
  - A. Staff Report – Adjustment to Orange County Board of Education Trustee Area Boundaries following 2020 Federal Census
  - B. Public Hearing – Proposed plans to adjust the Orange County Board of Education trustee area boundaries following the 2020 Federal Census, including: (a) Map 5 Modified by RP1; (b) Map 9B (Submitted by Wright & Converted into Mapitude by Redistricting Partners); (c) Option 5 Modified RP 1B (Modified by Redistricting Partners); (d) Draft Map 9B V2 (Modified by Redistricting Partners)
    1. Open Public Hearing
    2. Demographics and Plan Presentations
    3. Public Comment
    4. Rebuttal
    5. Questions from County Committee Members
    6. Close Public Hearing
  - C. Discussion from County Committee Members
  - D. Vote on the Redistricting Plan to adjust the Orange County Board of Education trustee area boundaries following the 2020 Federal Census. (ACTION)
9. Presentations from the Public: Not to exceed 3 minutes per person/ 30 minutes total.  
*Any persons present desiring to address the County Committee on any proper matter not on the agenda may do so at this time, provided that no action may be taken on any item not on the agenda.*
10. Next Meeting: To Be Determined
11. Adjournment.

Individuals with disabilities in need of copies of the agenda and/or the agenda packet or in need of auxiliary aides and services may request assistance by contacting Laurie Weiss, Manager, Business Services at (714) 966-4234.

For information concerning this meeting, please contact:  
Laurie Weiss, Manager, Business Services  
Orange County Department of Education  
Phone: (714) 966-4234  
Email: [ccsdo@ocde.us](mailto:ccsdo@ocde.us)  
or visit the County Committee website at  
<http://www.ocde.us/CCSDO/Pages/default.aspx>


**23**




# REDISTRICTING PARTNERS

## OC Board of Education

Option 5 Modified by RP 1











# REDISTRICTING PARTNERS

## OC Board of Education

### Option 5 Modified 1 - USDs










# REDISTRICTING PARTNERS

## OC Board of Education

Option 5 Modified 1 - Cities



## 2020 Census



	1	2	3	4	5
Population	638,597	638,605	614,774	638,601	662,433
Deviation	-5	3	-23,828	-1	23,831
Deviation %	-0.0%	0.0%	-3.7%	-0.0%	3.7%
Other	115,678	219,342	308,094	246,032	464,373
Other %	18.1%	34.3%	50.1%	38.5%	70.1%
Latino	419,193	192,602	110,255	236,408	131,823
Latino %	65.6%	30.2%	17.9%	37.0%	19.9%
Asian	91,777	218,669	185,806	143,383	59,632
Asian %	14.4%	34.2%	30.2%	22.5%	9.0%
Black	11,949	7,992	10,619	12,778	6,605
Black %	1.9%	1.3%	1.7%	2.0%	1.0%

## Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)


	1	2	3	4	5
Total CVAP	347,862	434,711	384,938	416,495	481,670
Other CVAP	102,028	189,071	238,126	206,934	367,655
Other CVAP %	29.3%	43.5%	61.9%	49.7%	76.3%
Latino CVAP	177,105	95,481	55,387	116,902	66,040
Latino CVAP %	50.9%	22.0%	14.4%	28.1%	13.7%
Asian CVAP	59,244	143,493	85,124	82,644	40,887
Asian CVAP %	17.0%	33.0%	22.1%	19.8%	8.5%
Black CVAP	9,485	6,666	6,301	10,015	7,088
Black CVAP %	2.7%	1.5%	1.6%	2.4%	1.5%

**District 1**

**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
638,597	-5	-0.0%	115,678	18.1%	419,193	65.6%	91,777	14.4%	11,949	1.9%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>		
347,862	102,028	29.3%	177,105	50.9%	59,244	17.0%	9,485	2.7%		





**District 1**



**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP %					
638,597	-5	-0.0%	115,678	18.1%	419,193	65.6%	91,777	14.4%	11,949	1.9%
<b>Total CVAP</b>			<b>177,105</b>	<b>50.9%</b>	<b>59,244</b>	<b>17.0%</b>	<b>9,485</b>	<b>2.7%</b>		


**District 1**



**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
638,597	-5	-0.0%	115,678	18.1%	419,193	65.6%	91,777	14.4%	11,949	1.9%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>		
347,862	102,028	29.3%	177,105	50.9%	59,244	17.0%	9,485	2.7%		





**District 1**



**2020 Census**





**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
638,597	-5	-0.0%	115,678	18.1%	419,193	65.6%	91,777	14.4%	11,949	1.9%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>		
347,862	10	29.3%	177,105	50.9%	59,244	17.0%	9,485	2.7%		

**District 2**

**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
638,605	3	0.0%	219,342	34.3%	192,602	30.2%	218,669	34.2%	7,992	1.3%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>		
434,771	189,071	43.5%	95,481	22.0%	143,493	33.0%	6,666	1.5%		



**District 2**



**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP %			
638,605	3	0.0%	192,602	30.2%	218,669	34.2%	7,992	1.3%
<b>Total CVAP</b>		<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>
4,34,711	1	43.5%	95,481	22.0%	143,493	33.0%	6,666	1.5%




**District 2**



**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Other %	Latino %	Asian %	Black %			
638,605	3	0.0%	192,602	30.2%	218,669	34.2%	7,992	1.3%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>
434,771	189,071	43.5%	95,481	22.0%	143,493	33.0%	6,666	1.5%


**District 2**



**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP %	Latino	Asian CVAP %	Asian	Black CVAP %	Black		
638,605	3	0.0%	219,342	34.3%	192,602	30.2%	218,669	34.2%	7,992	1.3%
<b>Total CVAP</b>			<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>	
4,34,711	11	43.5%	95,481	22.0%	143,493	33.0%	6,666	1.5%		


**District 3**



**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
614,774	-23,828	-3.7%	308,094	50.1%	110,255	17.9%	185,806	30.2%	10,619	1.7%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>		
384,938	238,126	61.9%	55,387	14.4%	85,124	22.1%	6,301	1.6%		




**District 3**



**2020 Census**



**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
614,774	-23,828	-3.7%	308,094	50.1%	110,255	17.9%	185,806	30.2%	10,619	1.7%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>		
384,938	3,126	61.9%	55,387	14.4%	85,124	22.1%	6,301	1.6%		


### District 3



### 2020 Census




### Citizen Voting Age Population




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
614,774	-23,828	-3.7%	308,094	50.1%	110,255	17.9%	185,806	30.2%	10,619	1.7%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>		
384,938	238,126	61.9%	55,387	14.4%	85,124	22.1%	6,301	1.6%		





**District 3**



**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Other CVAP	Latino CVAP	Other %	Latino %	Asian %	Black %
614,774	-23,828	-3.7%	308,094	50.1%	110,255	185,806	10,619
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>384,938</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>61.9%</b>	<b>55,387</b>	<b>14.4%</b>	<b>85,124</b>	<b>6,301</b>
						<b>22.1%</b>	<b>1.6%</b>


**District 4**



**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Other %	Latino %	Latino CVAP %	Asian %	Asian CVAP %	Black %	Black CVAP %
638,601	-1	38.5%	37.0%	236,408	22.5%	143,383	12,778	2.0%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>
416,495	206,934	116,902	28.1%	82,644	19.8%	10,015	2.4%	2.4%


**District 4**



**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Other %	Latino %	Asian %	Black %
638,601	-1	38.5%	37.0%	22.5%	2.0%
Total CVAP					
416,491	206,934	49.7%	28.1%	19.8%	2.4%


**District 4**



**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
638,601	-1	-0.0%	246,032	38.5%	236,408	37.0%	143,383	22.5%	12,778	2.0%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>		
416,495	206,934	49.7%	116,902	28.1%	82,644	19.8%	10,015	2.4%		




**District 4**



**2020 Census**



**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other %	Latino %	Latino CVAP	Asian %	Asian CVAP	Black %	Black CVAP
638,601	-1	-0.0%	246,032	38.5%	236,408	37.0%	143,383	22.5%	12,778
<b>Total CVAP</b>			<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>			
416,495	5,934	49.7%	116,902	28.1%	82,644	19.8	10,015	2.4%	


**District 5**



**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
662,433	23,831	3.7%	464,373	70.1%	131,823	19.9%	59,632	9.0%	6,605	1.0%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>		
481,670	367,655	76.3%	66,040	13.7%	40,887	8.5%	7,088	1.5%		


**District 5**



**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
662,433	23,831	3.7%	464,373	70.1%	131,823	19.9%	59,632	9.0%	6,605	1.0%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>		
481,670	36,000	7.5%	66,040	13.7%	40,887	8.5%	7,088	1.5%		


### District 5



### 2020 Census




### Citizen Voting Age Population




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
662,433	23,831	3.7%	464,373	70.1%	131,823	19.9%	59,632	9.0%	6,605	1.0%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>
481,670	367,655	76.3%	66,040	13.7%	40,887	8.5%	7,088	1.5%		




**District 5**



**2020 Census**



**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
662,433	23,831	3.7%	464,373	70.1%	131,823	19.9%	59,632	9.0%	6,605	1.0%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>		
481,670	3	76.3%	66,040	13.7%	40,887	8.5%	7,088	1.5%		


**24**



# REDISTRICTING PARTNERS

## OC Board of Education Draft Plan 9B Submission











# REDISTRICTING PARTNERS

## OC Board of Education

### Draft Plan 9B USD Overlay








# REDISTRICTING PARTNERS

## OC Board of Education Draft Plan 9B City Overlay





## 2020 Census


	1	2	3	4	5
Population	638,795	641,452	636,779	640,604	635,380
Deviation	193	2,850	-1,823	2,002	-3,222
Deviation %	0.0%	0.4%	-0.3%	0.3%	-0.5%
Other	109,928	370,422	287,233	154,324	431,612
Other %	17.2%	57.7%	45.1%	24.1%	67.9%
Latino	431,450	132,877	155,993	246,977	122,984
Latino %	67.5%	20.7%	24.5%	38.6%	19.4%
Asian	86,841	127,879	183,519	227,623	73,405
Asian %	13.6%	19.9%	28.8%	35.5%	11.6%
Black	10,576	10,274	10,034	11,680	7,379
Black %	1.7%	1.6%	1.6%	1.8%	1.2%

## Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)


	1	2	3	4	5
Total CVAP	348,751	453,546	400,962	406,601	455,816
Other CVAP	98,469	301,634	227,957	136,639	339,115
Other CVAP %	28.2%	66.5%	56.9%	33.6%	74.4%
Latino CVAP	185,259	70,424	78,984	113,995	62,253
Latino CVAP %	53.1%	15.5%	19.7%	28.0%	13.7%
Asian CVAP	56,969	72,815	87,482	146,581	47,545
Asian CVAP %	16.3%	16.1%	21.8%	36.1%	10.4%
Black CVAP	8,054	8,673	6,539	9,386	6,903
Black CVAP %	2.3%	1.9%	1.6%	2.3%	1.5%




**District 1**



**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
638,795	193	0.0%	109,928	17.2%	431,450	67.5%	86,841	13.6%	10,576	1.7%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>		
348,751	98,469	28.2%	185,259	53.1%	56,969	16.3%	8,054	2.3%		



**District 1**



**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
638,795	193	0.0%	109,928	17.2%	431,450	67.5%	86,841	13.6%	10,576	1.7%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>		
348,751	9	28.2%	185,259	53.1%	56,969	16.3%	8,054	2.3%		


**District 1**



**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
638,795	193	0.0%	109,928	17.2%	431,450	67.5%	86,841	13.6%	10,576	1.7%	
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>			
348,751	98,469	28.2%	185,259	53.1%	56,969	16.3%	8,054	2.3%			





**District 1**



**2020 Census**





**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
638,795	193	0.0%	109,928	17.2%	431,450	67.5%	86,841	13.6%	10,576	1.7%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>348,751</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>185,259</b>	<b>53.1%</b>	<b>56,969</b>	<b>16.3%</b>	<b>8,054</b>	<b>2.3%</b>		

**District 2**

**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Other %	Latino %	Asian %	Black %					
641,452	2,850	0.4%	370,422	57.7%	132,877	20.7%	127,879	19.9%	10,274	1.6%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>
453,546	301,634	66.5%	70,424	15.5%	72,815	16.1%	8,673	1.9%		



**District 2**



**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Other %	Latino %	Asian %	Black %					
641,452	2,850	0.4%	370,422	57.7%	132,877	20.7%	127,879	19.9%	10,274	1.6%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>453,546</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>66.5%</b>	<b>70,424</b>	<b>15.5%</b>	<b>72,815</b>	<b>16.1%</b>	<b>673</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	




### District 2



### 2020 Census




### Citizen Voting Age Population




Population	Deviation	Other	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
641,452	2,850	370,422	132,877	20.7%	127,879	19.9%	10,274	1.6%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>
453,546	301,634	66,550	70,424	15.5%	72,815	16.1%	8,673	1.9%


**District 2**



**2020 Census**





**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
641,452	2,850	0.4%	370,422	57.7%	132,877	20.7%	127,879	19.9%	10,274	1.6%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>		
453,546	30	66.5%	70,424	15.5%	72,815	16.1%	8,673	1.9%		

### District 3

### 2020 Census



### Citizen Voting Age Population





Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
636,779	-1,823	-0.3%	287,233	45.1%	155,993	24.5%	183,519	28.8%	10,034	1.6%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>		
400,962	227,957	56.9%	78,984	19.7%	87,482	21.8%	6,539	1.6%		





**District 3**

**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
636,779	-1,823	-0.3%	287,233	45.1%	155,993	24.5%	183,519	28.8%	10,034	1.6%
<b>Total CVAP</b>			<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>56.9%</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>19.7%</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>21.8%</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>1.6%</b>
400,962			1,957		78,984		87,482		6,539	


### District 3



### 2020 Census




### Citizen Voting Age Population




Population	Deviation	Other %	Latino %	Asian %	Black %					
636,779	-1,823	-0.3%	287,233	45.1%	155,993	24.5%	183,519	28.8%	10,034	1.6%
<b>Total CVAP</b>										
400,962	227,957	56.9%	78,984	19.7%	87,482	21.8%	6,539	1.6%		



**District 3**



**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Other CVAP	Latino CVAP	Asian CVAP	Black CVAP	Other %	Latino %	Asian %	Black %	
636,779	-1,823	-0.3%	287,233	45.1%	155,993	24.5%	183,519	28.8%	10,034	
<b>Total CVAP</b>			<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Other %</b>	<b>Latino %</b>	<b>Asian %</b>	<b>Black %</b>
400,962	21	56.9%	78,984	19.7%	87,482	21.8%	6,539	1.6%		




**District 4**



**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Other %	Latino %	Asian %	Black %					
640,604	2,002	0.3%	154,324	24.1%	246,977	38.6%	227,623	35.5%	11,680	1.8%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>					
406,601	136,639	33.6%	113,995	28.0%	146,581	36.1%	9,386	2.3%		



**District 4**



**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Other %	Latino %	Asian %	Black %
640,604	2,002	0.3%	24.1%	227,623	11,680
Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Latino CVAP	Asian CVAP	Black CVAP	Black CVAP
406,601	136	33.6%	28.0%	146,581	36.1%
					2.3%


**District 4**



**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
640,604	2,002	0.3%	154,324	24.1%	246,977	38.6%	227,623	35.5%	11,680	1.8%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>		
406,601	136,639	33.6%	113,995	28.0%	146,581	36.1%	9,386	2.3%		


**District 4**



**2020 Census**



**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Other %	Latino %	Asian %	Black %					
640,604	2,002	0.3%	154,324	24.1%	246,977	38.6%	227,623	35.5%	11,680	1.8%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>					
406,601	39	33.6%	113,995	28.0%	146,581	36.1%	9,386	2.3%		




**District 5**



**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
635,380	-3,222	-0.5%	431,612	67.9%	122,984	19.4%	73,405	11.6%	7,379	1.2%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>		
455,816	339,115	74.4%	62,253	13.7%	47,545	10.4%	6,903	1.5%		


**District 5**



**2020 Census**



**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
635,380	-3,222	-0.5%	431,612	67.9%	122,984	19.4%	73,405	11.6%	7,379	1.2%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>		
455,816	3	74.4%	62,253	13.7%	47,545	10.4%	6,903	1.5%		




**District 5**



**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
635,380	-3,222	-0.5%	431,612	67.9%	122,984	19.4%	73,405	11.6%	7,379	1.2%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>		
455,816	339,115	74.4%	62,253	13.7%	47,545	10.4%	6,903	1.5%		


**District 5**



**2020 Census**





**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
635,380	-3,222	-0.5%	431,612	67.9%	122,984	19.4%	73,405	11.6%	7,379	1.2%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>		
455,816	33	74.4%	62,253	13.7%	47,545	10.4%	6,903	1.5%		

**25**


















**2020 Census**

	1	2	3	4	5
Population	637,182	646,099	632,623	631,377	645,729
Deviation	-1,420	7,497	-5,979	-7,225	7,127
Deviation %	-0.2%	1.2%	-0.9%	-1.1%	1.1%
Other	118,622	230,298	316,637	235,680	452,282
Other %	18.6%	35.6%	50.1%	37.3%	70.0%
Latino	426,874	190,038	113,047	231,829	128,493
Latino %	67.0%	29.4%	17.9%	36.7%	19.9%
Asian	80,853	217,698	192,045	150,155	58,516
Asian %	12.7%	33.7%	30.4%	23.8%	9.1%
Black	10,833	8,065	10,894	13,713	6,438
Black %	1.7%	1.2%	1.7%	2.2%	1.0%


**Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)**

	1	2	3	4	5
Total CVAP	347,158	441,668	397,431	410,157	469,262
Other CVAP	105,441	197,707	244,681	198,003	357,982
Other CVAP %	30.4%	44.8%	61.6%	48.3%	76.3%
Latino CVAP	181,006	94,755	57,108	113,968	64,078
Latino CVAP %	52.1%	21.5%	14.4%	27.8%	13.7%
Asian CVAP	52,676	142,533	89,143	86,794	40,246
Asian CVAP %	15.2%	32.3%	22.4%	21.2%	8.6%
Black CVAP	8,035	6,673	6,499	11,392	6,956
Black CVAP %	2.3%	1.5%	1.6%	2.8%	1.5%


**District 1**



**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
637,182	-1,420	-0.2%	118,622	18.6%	426,874	67.0%	80,853	12.7%	10,833	1.7%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>		
347,158	105,441	30.4%	181,006	52.1%	52,676	15.2%	8,035	2.3%		




**District 1**



**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
637,182	-1,420	-0.2%	118,622	18.6%	426,874	67.0%	80,853	12.7%	10,833	1.7%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>		
347,158	41	30.4%	181,006	52.1%	52,676	15.2%	8,035	2.3%		




**District 1**



**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**






Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
637,182	-1,420	-0.2%	118,622	18.6%	426,874	67.0%	80,853	12.7%	10,833	1.7%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>		
347,158	105,441	30.4%	181,006	52.1%	52,676	15.2%	8,035	2.3%		

**District 1**





**2020 Census**





Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
637,182	-1,420	-0.2%	118,622	18.6%	426,874	67.0%	80,853	12.7%	10,833	1.7%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>		
347,158	41	30.4%	181,006	52.1%	52,676	15.2%	8,035	2.3%		

**District 2**

**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
646,099	7,497	1.2%	230,298	35.6%	190,038	29.4%	217,698	33.7%	8,065	1.2%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>		
441,668	197,707	44.8%	94,755	21.5%	142,533	32.3%	6,673	1.5%		


**District 2**



**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
646,099	7,497	1.2%	230,298	35.6%	190,038	29.4%	217,698	33.7%	8,065	1.2%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>		
441,668	77	44.8%	94,755	21.5%	142,533	32.3%	6,673	1.5%		




**District 2**



**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Other %	Latino %	Latino CVAP	Asian %	Asian CVAP	Black %	Black CVAP
646,099	7,497	1.2%	230,298	35.6%	190,038	29.4%	217,698	33.7%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>197,707</b>	<b>44.8%</b>	<b>94,755</b>	<b>21.5%</b>	<b>142,533</b>	<b>32.3%</b>	<b>6,673</b>	<b>1.5%</b>




**District 2**



**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
646,099	7,497	1.2%	230,298	35.6%	190,038	29.4%	217,698	33.7%	8,065	1.2%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>		
441,668	77	44.8%	94,755	21.5%	142,533	32.3%	6,673	1.5%		


### District 3



### 2020 Census




### Citizen Voting Age Population




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
632,623	-5,979	-0.9%	316,637	50.1%	113,047	17.9%	192,045	30.4%	10,894	1.7%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>
397,431	244,681	61.6%	57,108	14.4%	89,143	22.4%	6,499	1.6%	1.6%	



**District 3**



**2020 Census**



**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
632,623	-5,979	-0.9%	316,637	50.1%	113,047	17.9%	192,045	30.4%	10,894	1.7%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>		
397,431	91	61.6%	57,108	14.4%	89,143	22.4%	6,499	1.6%		




**District 3**



**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
632,623	-5,979	-0.9%	316,637	50.1%	113,047	17.9%	192,045	30.4%	10,894	1.7%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>		
397,431	244,681	61.6%	57,108	14.4%	89,143	22.4%	6,499	1.6%		



**District 3**



**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	%	Other	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
632,623	-5,979	-0.9%	316,637	113,047	17.9%	192,045	30.4%	10,894	1.7%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>	
397,431	1,681	61.6%	57,108	14.4%	89,143	22.4%	6,499	1.6%	




### District 4



### 2020 Census




### Citizen Voting Age Population




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
631,377	-7,225	-1.1%	235,680	37.3%	231,829	36.7%	150,155	23.8%	13,713	2.2%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>198,003</b>	<b>48.3%</b>	<b>113,968</b>	<b>27.8%</b>	<b>86,794</b>	<b>21.2%</b>	<b>11,392</b>	<b>2.8%</b>		

Other CVAP	Latino CVAP	Asian CVAP	Black CVAP
113,968	86,794	11,392	2,817



**District 4**



**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
631,377	-7,225	-1.1%	235,680	37.3%	231,829	36.7%	150,155	23.8%	13,713	2.2%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>		
410,157	003	48.3%	113,968	27.8%	86,794	21.2%	11,392	2.8%		


**District 4**



**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
631,377	-7,225	-1.1%	235,680	37.3%	231,829	36.7%	150,155	23.8%	13,713	2.2%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>		
410,157	198,003	48.3%	113,968	27.8%	86,794	21.2%	11,392	2.8%		




**District 4**



**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Other %	Latino %	Asian %	Black %					
631,377	-7,225	-1.1%	235,680	37.3%	231,829	36.7%	150,155	23.8%	13,713	2.2%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>% Latino CVAP</b>	<b>% Asian CVAP</b>	<b>% Black CVAP</b>	<b>% Asian CVAP</b>	<b>% Black CVAP</b>	<b>% Asian CVAP</b>	<b>% Black CVAP</b>	<b>% Asian CVAP</b>	<b>% Black CVAP</b>
410,157	198,003	48.3%	113,968	27.8%	86,794	21.2%	11,392	2.8%		

**District 5**

**2020 Census**



**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
645,729	7,127	1.1%	452,282	70.0%	128,493	19.9%	58,516	9.1%	6,438	1.0%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>357,982</b>	<b>76.3%</b>	<b>64,078</b>	<b>13.7%</b>	<b>40,246</b>	<b>8.6%</b>	<b>6,956</b>	<b>1.5%</b>		


Other CVAP	Latino CVAP	Asian CVAP	Black CVAP
64,078	40,246	6,956	1,500




**District 5**



**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
645,729	7,127	1.1%	452,282	70.0%	128,493	19.9%	58,516	9.1%	6,438	1.0%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>		
469,262	82	76.3%	64,078	13.7%	40,246	8.6%	6,956	1.5%		


**District 5**



**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
645,729	7,127	1.1%	452,282	70.0%	128,493	19.9%	58,516	9.1%	6,438	1.0%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>		
469,262	357,982	76.3%	64,078	13.7%	40,246	8.6%	6,956	1.5%		

**District 5**



**2020 Census**

**Citizen Voting Age Population**



Population	Deviation	Other %	Latino %	Asian %	Black %
645,729	7,127	70.0%	128,493	58,516	6,438
Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Latino CVAP	Asian CVAP	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
469,262	357,982	64,078	40,246	6,956	1.5%

User:

Plan Name: OC BOE Draft 5 RP 1 B

Plan Type:

## Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

Wednesday, January 26, 2022

10:10 AM

Census Place	District	PPA_Population	%
Aliso Viejo	3	2,055	3.9
Aliso Viejo	5	50,167	96.1
Anaheim	1	225,021	64.7
Anaheim	4	122,732	35.3
Brea	4	47,397	100.0
Buena Park	4	84,187	100.0
Costa Mesa	3	563	0.5
Costa Mesa	5	111,576	99.5
Coto de Caza	5	14,723	100.0
Cypress	2	50,235	100.0
Dana Point	5	33,144	100.0
Fountain Valley	2	57,120	100.0
Fullerton	4	143,930	100.0
Garden Grove	1	8,363	4.9
Garden Grove	2	163,983	95.2
Huntington Beach	2	139,711	70.2
Huntington Beach	5	59,322	29.8
Irvine	1	0	0.0
Irvine	3	307,958	100.0
Irvine	5	0	0.0

## Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

Census Place	District	PPA_Population	%
La Habra	4	63,234	100.0
La Palma	4	15,597	100.0
Ladera Ranch	5	26,188	100.0
Laguna Beach	5	23,061	100.0
Laguna Hills	3	31,357	99.9
Laguna Hills	5	42	0.1
Laguna Niguel	3	0	0.0
Laguna Niguel	5	64,417	100.0
Laguna Woods	3	16,773	95.0
Laguna Woods	5	885	5.0
Lake Forest	3	85,965	100.0
Las Flores	5	6,004	100.0
Los Alamitos	2	11,795	100.0
Midway City	2	8,845	100.0
Mission Viejo	3	46,118	49.2
Mission Viejo	5	47,642	50.8
Modjeska	3	632	100.0
Newport Beach	3	197	0.2
Newport Beach	5	85,141	99.8
North Tustin	3	25,749	100.0
Orange	1	65,945	47.0
Orange	3	44,623	31.8
Orange	4	29,623	21.1
Placentia	4	51,925	100.0



## Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

---

Census Place	District	PPA_Population	%
Rancho Mission Viejo	5	10,385	100.0
Rancho Santa Margarita	3	36,746	76.6
Rancho Santa Margarita	5	11,254	23.5
Rossmoor	2	10,634	100.0
San Clemente	5	64,384	100.0
San Juan Capistrano	5	35,271	100.0
Santa Ana	1	265,801	85.4
Santa Ana	2	45,592	14.6
Santa Ana	5	0	0.0
Seal Beach	2	25,283	100.0
Silverado	3	932	100.0
Stanton	2	37,989	99.8
Stanton	4	78	0.2
Trabuco Canyon	3	1,020	100.0
Tustin	1	62,345	77.5
Tustin	3	18,067	22.5
Villa Park	3	5,850	100.0
Westminster	2	91,083	100.0
Williams Canyon	3	93	100.0
Yorba Linda	4	68,415	100.0

**Census Place**                      **-- Listed by District**

PPA\_Population                      %

**District 1**

Anaheim (part)	225,021	64.7
Garden Grove (part)	8,363	4.9
Irvine (part)	0	0.0
Orange (part)	65,945	47.0
Santa Ana (part)	265,801	85.4
Tustin (part)	62,345	77.5

**District 1 Totals    627,475**

**District 2**

Cypress	50,235	100.0
Fountain Valley	57,120	100.0
Garden Grove (part)	163,983	95.2
Huntington Beach (part)	139,711	70.2
Los Alamitos	11,795	100.0
Midway City	8,845	100.0
Rossmoor	10,634	100.0
Santa Ana (part)	45,592	14.6
Seal Beach	25,283	100.0
Stanton (part)	37,989	99.8
Westminster	91,083	100.0

**District 2 Totals    642,270**

	PPA_Population	%
<b>District 3</b>		
Aliso Viejo (part)	2,055	3.9
Costa Mesa (part)	563	0.5
Irvine (part)	307,958	100.0
Laguna Hills (part)	31,357	99.9
Laguna Niguel (part)	0	0.0
Laguna Woods (part)	16,773	95.0
Lake Forest	85,965	100.0
Mission Viejo (part)	46,118	49.2
Modjeska	632	100.0
Newport Beach (part)	197	0.2
North Tustin	25,749	100.0
Orange (part)	44,623	31.8
Rancho Santa Margarita (part)	36,746	76.6
Silverado	932	100.0
Trabuco Canyon	1,020	100.0
Tustin (part)	18,067	22.5
Villa Park	5,850	100.0
Williams Canyon	93	100.0
<b>District 3 Totals</b>	<b>624,698</b>	

	PPA_Population	%
<b><i>District 4</i></b>		
Anaheim (part)	122,732	35.3
Brea	47,397	100.0
Buena Park	84,187	100.0
Fullerton	143,930	100.0
La Habra	63,234	100.0
La Palma	15,597	100.0
Orange (part)	29,623	21.1
Placentia	51,925	100.0
Stanton (part)	78	0.2
Yorba Linda	68,415	100.0
<b>District 4 Totals</b>	<b>627,118</b>	

	PPA_Population	%
<b><i>District 5</i></b>		
Aliso Viejo (part)	50,167	96.1
Costa Mesa (part)	111,576	99.5
Coto de Caza	14,723	100.0
Dana Point	33,144	100.0
Huntington Beach (part)	59,322	29.8
Irvine (part)	0	0.0
Ladera Ranch	26,188	100.0
Laguna Beach	23,061	100.0
Laguna Hills (part)	42	0.1
Laguna Niguel (part)	64,417	100.0
Laguna Woods (part)	885	5.0
Las Flores	6,004	100.0
Mission Viejo (part)	47,642	50.8
Newport Beach (part)	85,141	99.8
Rancho Mission Viejo	10,385	100.0
Rancho Santa Margarita (part)	11,254	23.5
San Clemente	64,384	100.0
San Juan Capistrano	35,271	100.0
Santa Ana (part)	0	0.0
<b>District 5 Totals</b>	<b>643,606</b>	



---

## **Summary Statistics**

Number of Census Place not split	29
Number of Census Place split	16
Number of Census Place split in 2	13
Number of Census Place split in 3	3
Total number of splits	35

User:

Plan Name: **OC BOE Draft 5 RP 1 B**

Plan Type:

## Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

Wednesday, January 26, 2022

10:17 AM

Unified School	District	PPA_Population	%
Brea-Olinda Unified School District	4	43,078	100.0
Capistrano Unified School District	5	361,882	100.0
Garden Grove Unified School District	1	8,522	3.1
Garden Grove Unified School District	2	268,094	96.9
Irvine Unified School District	1	3,570	1.3
Irvine Unified School District	3	269,271	98.7
Laguna Beach Unified School District	5	28,256	100.0
Los Alamitos Unified School District	2	49,955	100.0
Newport-Mesa Unified School District	1	0	0.0
Newport-Mesa Unified School District	3	0	0.0
Newport-Mesa Unified School District	5	196,117	100.0
Orange Unified School District	1	86,024	38.3

## Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

---

Unified School	District	PPA_Population	%
Orange Unified School District	2	0	0.0
Orange Unified School District	3	60,297	26.8
Orange Unified School District	4	78,303	34.9
Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified School Dis	4	165,676	100.0
Saddleback Valley Unified School Distric	3	221,072	100.0
Santa Ana Unified School District	1	233,974	96.0
Santa Ana Unified School District	3	9,746	4.0
Santa Ana Unified School District	5	29	0.0
Tustin Unified School District	1	71,345	49.7
Tustin Unified School District	3	72,237	50.3

**Unified School**

**-- Listed by District**

PPA\_Population %

***District 1***

---

Garden Grove Unified School District (part)	8,522	3.1
Irvine Unified School District (part)	3,570	1.3
Newport-Mesa Unified School District (part)	0	0.0
Orange Unified School District (part)	86,024	38.3
Santa Ana Unified School District (part)	233,974	96.0
Tustin Unified School District (part)	71,345	49.7

---

**District 1 Totals 403,435**

***District 2***

---

Garden Grove Unified School District (part)	268,094	96.9
Los Alamitos Unified School District	49,955	100.0
Orange Unified School District (part)	0	0.0

---

**District 2 Totals 318,049**

	PPA_Population	%
<b><i>District 3</i></b>		
Irvine Unified School District (part)	269,271	98.7
Newport-Mesa Unified School District (part)	0	0.0
Orange Unified School District (part)	60,297	26.8
Saddleback Valley Unified School District	221,072	100.0
Santa Ana Unified School District (part)	9,746	4.0
Tustin Unified School District (part)	72,237	50.3
<b>District 3 Totals</b>	<b>632,623</b>	
<b><i>District 4</i></b>		
Brea-Olinda Unified School District	43,078	100.0
Orange Unified School District (part)	78,303	34.9
Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified School Dis	165,676	100.0
<b>District 4 Totals</b>	<b>287,057</b>	



---

	PPA_Population	%
<b>District 5</b>		
Capistrano Unified School District	361,882	100.0
Laguna Beach Unified School District	28,256	100.0
Newport-Mesa Unified School District (part)	196,117	100.0
Santa Ana Unified School District (part)	29	0.0
<b>District 5 Totals</b>	<b>586,284</b>	


---


---

### **Summary Statistics**


Number of Unified School not split	6
Number of Unified School split	6
Number of Unified School split in 2	3
Number of Unified School split in 3	2
Number of Unified School split in 4	1
Total number of splits	16


**26**














**2020 Census**


	1	2	3	4	5
Population	642,089	638,875	637,300	639,366	635,380
Deviation	3,487	273	-1,302	764	-3,222
Deviation %	0.5%	0.0%	-0.2%	0.1%	-0.5%
Other	111,347	369,356	287,248	153,956	431,612
Other %	17.3%	57.8%	45.1%	24.1%	67.9%
Latino	431,890	132,623	156,485	246,299	122,984
Latino %	67.3%	20.8%	24.6%	38.5%	19.4%
Asian	88,166	126,699	183,526	227,471	73,405
Asian %	13.7%	19.8%	28.8%	35.6%	11.6%
Black	10,686	10,197	10,041	11,640	7,379
Black %	1.7%	1.6%	1.6%	1.8%	1.2%

**Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)**


	1	2	3	4	5
Total CVAP	350,293	452,779	401,133	405,655	455,816
Other CVAP	99,312	301,112	227,996	136,279	339,115
Other CVAP %	28.4%	66.5%	56.8%	33.6%	74.4%
Latino CVAP	185,401	70,388	79,110	113,763	62,253
Latino CVAP %	52.9%	15.5%	19.7%	28.0%	13.7%
Asian CVAP	57,490	72,612	87,485	146,260	47,545
Asian CVAP %	16.4%	16.0%	21.8%	36.1%	10.4%
Black CVAP	8,090	8,667	6,542	9,353	6,903
Black CVAP %	2.3%	1.9%	1.6%	2.3%	1.5%




**District 1**



**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
642,089	3,487	0.5%	111,347	17.3%	431,890	67.3%	88,166	13.7%	10,686	1.7%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>		
350,293	99,312	28.4%	185,401	52.9%	57,490	16.4%	8,090	2.3%		




**District 1**



**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
642,089	3,487	0.5%	111,347	17.3%	431,890	67.3%	88,166	13.7%	10,686	1.7%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>		
350,293	312	28.4%	185,401	52.9%	57,490	16.4%	8,090	2.3%		


**District 1**



**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Other %	Latino %	Latino CVAP %	Asian %	Asian CVAP %	Black %	Black CVAP %
642,089	3,487	0.5%	431,890	67.3%	88,166	13.7%	10,686	1.7%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>28.4%</b>	<b>185,401</b>	<b>52.9%</b>	<b>57,490</b>	<b>16.4%</b>	<b>8,090</b>	<b>2.3%</b>
350,293	99,312	28.4%	185,401	52.9%	57,490	16.4%	8,090	2.3%


**District 1**



**2020 Census**



**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
642,089	3,487	0.5%	111,347	17.3%	431,890	67.3%	88,166	13.7%	10,686	1.7%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>		
350,293	99,312	28.4%	185,401	52.9%	57,490	16.4%	8,090	2.3%		





**District 2**



**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
638,875	273	0.0%	369,356	57.8%	132,623	20.8%	126,699	19.8%	10,197	1.6%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>		
452,779	301,112	66.5%	70,388	15.5%	72,612	16.0%	8,667	1.9%		



**District 2**



**2020 Census**



**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Other %	Latino %	Latino CVAP %	Asian %	Asian CVAP %	Black %	Black CVAP %
638,875	273	0.0%	132,623	20.8%	126,699	19.8%	10,197	1.6%
Total CVAP								
452,779	1,112	66.5%	70,388	15.5%	72,612	16.0%	8,667	1.9%




**District 2**

**2020 Census**



**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
638,875	273	0.0%	369,356	57.8%	132,623	20.8%	126,699	19.8%	10,197	1.6%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>		
452,779	301,112	66.5%	70,388	15.5%	72,612	16.0%	8,667	1.9%		




# REDISTRICTING PARTNERS

## Orange County Office of Education Draft Map 9B V2: Overlay with Cities



### District 2



### 2020 Census




### Citizen Voting Age Population




Population	Deviation	Other %	Latino %	Asian %	Black %
638,875	273	0.0%	20.8%	19.8%	1.6%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>
452,779	712	70,388	72,612	9,667	1,900
		15.5%	16.0%	19.8%	1.9%

**District 3**

**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
637,300	-1,302	-0.2%	287,248	45.1%	156,485	24.6%	183,526	28.8%	10,041	1.6%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>		
401,133	227,996	56.8%	79,110	19.7%	87,485	21.8%	6,542	1.6%		




**District 3**



**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
637,300	-1,302	-0.2%	287,248	156,485	24.6%	183,526	28.8%	10,041	1.6%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>	
401,133	996	56.8%	79,110	19.7%	87,485	21.8%	6,542	1.6%	


### District 3



### 2020 Census




### Citizen Voting Age Population




Population	Deviation	Other %	Latino %	Asian %	Black %
637,300	-1,302	45.1%	24.6%	28.8%	1.6%
Total CVAP		287,248	156,485	183,526	10,041
401,133	227,996	79,110	87,485	21,8%	6,542
Other CVAP	56.8%	19.7%	21.8%	1.6%	




**District 3**



**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Other %	Latino %	Asian %	Black %					
637,300	-1,302	-0.2%	287,248	45.1%	156,485	24.6%	183,526	28.8%	10,041	1.6%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>					
401,133	77,996	56.8%	79,110	19.7%	87,485	21.8%	6,542	1.6%		


**District 4**



**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
639,366	764	0.1%	153,956	24.1%	246,299	38.5%	227,471	35.6%	11,640	1.8%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>
405,655	136,279	33.6%	113,763	28.0%	146,260	36.1%	9,353	2.3%	2.3%	2.3%


**District 4**



**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Other %	Latino %	Asian %	Black %
639,366	764	0.1%	24.1%	227,471	11,640
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>
405,655	76,279	33.6%	113,763	146,260	36.1%
				9,353	2.3%


**District 4**



**2020 Census**



**Citizen Voting Age Population**



Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
639,366	764	0.1%	153,956	24.1%	246,299	38.5%	227,471	35.6%	71,640	1.8%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>		
405,655	136,279	33.6%	113,763	28.0%	146,260	36.1%	9,353	2.3%		






# REDISTRICTING PARTNERS


## Orange County Office of Education

Draft Map 9B V2: Overlay with Cities



### District 4



### 2020 Census



### Citizen Voting Age Population




Population	Deviation	Other %	Latino %	Asian %	Black %
639,366	764	0.1%	246,299	227,471	11,640
Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Latino CVAP	Asian CVAP	Black CVAP	Black CVAP
405,655	136,279	33.6%	113,763	28.0%	146,260
				36.1%	9,353
					2.3%




**District 5**

**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
635,380	-3,222	-0.5%	431,612	67.9%	122,984	19.4%	73,405	11.6%	7,379	1.2%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>		
455,816	339,115	74.4%	62,253	13.7%	47,545	10.4%	6,903	1.5%		




**District 5**



**2020 Census**



**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Other	Latino	Asian	Black	Latino %	Asian %	Black %
635,380	-3,222	431,612	122,984	73,405	7,379	19.4%	11.6%	1.2%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Latino %</b>	<b>Asian %</b>	<b>Black %</b>
455,816	2115	62,253	47,545	6,903	137,863	13.7%	10.4%	1.5%


**District 5**



**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
635,380	-3,222	-0.5%	431,612	67.9%	122,984	19.4%	73,405	11.6%	7,379	1.2%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>		
455,816	339,115	74.4%	62,253	13.7%	47,545	10.4%	6,903	1.5%		


**District 5**



**2020 Census**



**Citizen Voting Age Population**



Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
635,380	-3,222	-0.5%	431,612	67.9%	122,984	19.4%	73,405	11.6%	7,379	1.2%
<b>Total CVAP</b>			<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>% Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>% Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>% Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>
455,816	-3,115	74.4%	62,253	13.7%	47,545	10.4%	6,903	1.5%		

User:

Plan Name: **OC BOE 9B2**

Plan Type:

## Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

Wednesday, January 26, 2022

9:50 AM

Census Place	District	PPA_Population	%
Aliso Viejo	5	52,222	100.0
Anaheim	1	230,164	66.2
Anaheim	3	73,759	21.2
Anaheim	4	43,830	12.6
Brea	3	47,397	100.0
Buena Park	4	84,187	100.0
Costa Mesa	2	112,139	100.0
Coto de Caza	5	14,723	100.0
Cypress	2	50,235	100.0
Dana Point	5	33,144	100.0
Fountain Valley	2	57,120	100.0
Fullerton	3	16,016	11.1
Fullerton	4	127,914	88.9
Garden Grove	1	39,027	22.6
Garden Grove	2	0	0.0
Garden Grove	4	133,319	77.4
Huntington Beach	2	199,033	100.0
Irvine	1	2,577	0.8
Irvine	2	111,125	36.1
Irvine	3	194,256	63.1



## Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

OC BOE 9B2

Census Place	District	PPA_Population	%
Irvine	5	0	0.0
La Habra	3	8,237	13.0
La Habra	4	54,997	87.0
La Palma	4	15,597	100.0
Ladera Ranch	5	26,188	100.0
Laguna Beach	5	23,061	100.0
Laguna Hills	5	31,399	100.0
Laguna Niguel	5	64,417	100.0
Laguna Woods	5	17,658	100.0
Lake Forest	2	0	0.0
Lake Forest	3	0	0.0
Lake Forest	5	85,965	100.0
Las Flores	5	6,004	100.0
Los Alamitos	2	11,795	100.0
Midway City	4	8,845	100.0
Mission Viejo	5	93,760	100.0
Modjeska	3	632	100.0
Newport Beach	2	59,165	69.3
Newport Beach	5	26,173	30.7
North Tustin	1	10,206	39.6
North Tustin	3	15,543	60.4
Orange	1	25,112	17.9
Orange	3	115,079	82.1
Placentia	3	51,925	100.0

## Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

---

Census Place	District	PPA_Population	%
Rancho Mission Viejo	5	10,385	100.0
Rancho Santa Margarita	5	48,000	100.0
Rossmoor	2	10,634	100.0
San Clemente	5	64,384	100.0
San Juan Capistrano	5	35,271	100.0
Santa Ana	1	273,011	87.7
Santa Ana	4	38,382	12.3
Seal Beach	2	25,283	100.0
Silverado	3	932	100.0
Stanton	4	38,067	100.0
Trabuco Canyon	3	297	29.1
Trabuco Canyon	5	723	70.9
Tustin	1	52,587	65.4
Tustin	3	27,825	34.6
Villa Park	3	5,850	100.0
Westminster	2	0	0.0
Westminster	4	91,083	100.0
Williams Canyon	3	93	100.0
Yorba Linda	3	68,415	100.0

**Census Place**                      **-- Listed by District**

PPA\_Population                      %

***District 1***

---

Anaheim (part)	230,164	66.2
Garden Grove (part)	39,027	22.6
Irvine (part)	2,577	0.8
North Tustin (part)	10,206	39.6
Orange (part)	25,112	17.9
Santa Ana (part)	273,011	87.7
Tustin (part)	52,587	65.4

---

**District 1 Totals**    **632,684**

	PPA_Population	%
<b>District 2</b>		
Costa Mesa	112,139	100.0
Cypress	50,235	100.0
Fountain Valley	57,120	100.0
Garden Grove (part)	0	0.0
Huntington Beach	199,033	100.0
Irvine (part)	111,125	36.1
Lake Forest (part)	0	0.0
Los Alamitos	11,795	100.0
Newport Beach (part)	59,165	69.3
Rossmoor	10,634	100.0
Seal Beach	25,283	100.0
Westminster (part)	0	0.0
<b>District 2 Totals</b>	<b>636,529</b>	

	PPA_Population	%
<b>District 3</b>		
Anaheim (part)	73,759	21.2
Brea	47,397	100.0
Fullerton (part)	16,016	11.1
Irvine (part)	194,256	63.1
La Habra (part)	8,237	13.0
Lake Forest (part)	0	0.0
Modjeska	632	100.0
North Tustin (part)	15,543	60.4
Orange (part)	115,079	82.1
Placentia	51,925	100.0
Silverado	932	100.0
Trabuco Canyon (part)	297	29.1
Tustin (part)	27,825	34.6
Villa Park	5,850	100.0
Williams Canyon	93	100.0
Yorba Linda	68,415	100.0
<b>District 3 Totals</b>	<b>626,256</b>	



	PPA_Population	%
<b>District 4</b>		
Anaheim (part)	43,830	12.6
Buena Park	84,187	100.0
Fullerton (part)	127,914	88.9
Garden Grove (part)	133,319	77.4
La Habra (part)	54,997	87.0
La Palma	15,597	100.0
Midway City	8,845	100.0
Santa Ana (part)	38,382	12.3
Stanton	38,067	100.0
Westminster (part)	91,083	100.0
<b>District 4 Totals</b>	<b>636,221</b>	

	PPA_Population	%
<b>District 5</b>		
Aliso Viejo	52,222	100.0
Coto de Caza	14,723	100.0
Dana Point	33,144	100.0
Irvine (part)	0	0.0
Ladera Ranch	26,188	100.0
Laguna Beach	23,061	100.0
Laguna Hills	31,399	100.0
Laguna Niguel	64,417	100.0
Laguna Woods	17,658	100.0
Lake Forest (part)	85,965	100.0
Las Flores	6,004	100.0
Mission Viejo	93,760	100.0
Newport Beach (part)	26,173	30.7
Rancho Mission Viejo	10,385	100.0
Rancho Santa Margarita	48,000	100.0
San Clemente	64,384	100.0
San Juan Capistrano	35,271	100.0
Trabuco Canyon (part)	723	70.9
<b>District 5 Totals</b>	<b>633,477</b>	

---

## Summary Statistics

Number of Census Place not split	32
Number of Census Place split	13
Number of Census Place split in 2	9
Number of Census Place split in 3	3
Number of Census Place split in 4	1
Total number of splits	31

User:

Plan Name: **OC BOE 9B2**

Plan Type:

## Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

Wednesday, January 26, 2022

9:58 AM

Unified School	District	PPA_Population	%
Brea-Olinda Unified School District	3	43,078	100.0
Capistrano Unified School District	5	361,882	100.0
Garden Grove Unified School District	1	46,807	16.9
Garden Grove Unified School District	2	24,404	8.8
Garden Grove Unified School District	4	205,405	74.3
Irvine Unified School District	2	102,139	37.4
Irvine Unified School District	3	170,702	62.6
Laguna Beach Unified School District	5	28,256	100.0
Los Alamitos Unified School District	2	49,955	100.0
Newport-Mesa Unified School District	2	171,352	87.4
Newport-Mesa Unified School District	5	24,765	12.6
Orange Unified School District	1	45,736	20.4

## Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

---

Unified School	District	PPA_Population	%
Orange Unified School District	3	178,888	79.6
Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified School Dis	3	165,676	100.0
Saddleback Valley Unified School Distric	3	595	0.3
Saddleback Valley Unified School Distric	5	220,477	99.7
Santa Ana Unified School District	1	233,974	96.0
Santa Ana Unified School District	2	9,775	4.0
Tustin Unified School District	1	77,395	53.9
Tustin Unified School District	3	66,187	46.1



**Unified School**                      **-- Listed by District**

	PPA_Population	%
--	----------------	---

***District 1***

---

Garden Grove Unified School District (part)	46,807	16.9
Orange Unified School District (part)	45,736	20.4
Santa Ana Unified School District (part)	233,974	96.0
Tustin Unified School District (part)	77,395	53.9

---

**District 1 Totals**                                      **403,912**

***District 2***

---

Garden Grove Unified School District (part)	24,404	8.8
Irvine Unified School District (part)	102,139	37.4
Los Alamitos Unified School District	49,955	100.0
Newport-Mesa Unified School District (part)	171,352	87.4
Santa Ana Unified School District (part)	9,775	4.0

---

**District 2 Totals**                                      **357,625**

	PPA_Population	%
<b>District 3</b>		
Brea-Olinda Unified School District	43,078	100.0
Irvine Unified School District (part)	170,702	62.6
Orange Unified School District (part)	178,888	79.6
Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified School Dis	165,676	100.0
Saddleback Valley Unified School Distric (part)	595	0.3
Tustin Unified School District (part)	66,187	46.1
<b>District 3 Totals</b>	<b>625,126</b>	
<b>District 4</b>		
Garden Grove Unified School District (part)	205,405	74.3
<b>District 4 Totals</b>	<b>205,405</b>	

	PPA_Population	%
<b><i>District 5</i></b>		
Capistrano Unified School District	361,882	100.0
Laguna Beach Unified School District	28,256	100.0
Newport-Mesa Unified School District (part)	24,765	12.6
Saddleback Valley Unified School District (part)	220,477	99.7
<b>District 5 Totals</b>	<b>635,380</b>	

---

### Summary Statistics

Number of Unified School not split	5
Number of Unified School split	7
Number of Unified School split in 2	6
Number of Unified School split in 3	1
Total number of splits	15